

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RECEIVED WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

)	Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary
)	CC Docket No. 97-121
)	
)	
)	
)))))

To: The Commission

COMMENTS OF COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ON MOTION TO DISMISS

Cox Communications, Inc. ("Cox"), by its attorneys, hereby submits its comments in response to the Motion to Dismiss filed by the Association for Local Telecommunications Service ("ALTS") in the above-referenced proceeding. Cox files these comments for the limited purpose of establishing that it does not yet provide competing "telephone exchange service... to residential and business subscribers," as those terms are used in Section 271(c)(1)(A) of the Communications Act and that, therefore, SBC Communications, Inc. and SBC Corp. (collectively, "SBC") cannot rely on Cox's operations to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of that provision.

A Cox subsidiary is the cable operator serving Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. As is the case in many of Cox's markets across the country, Cox actively is engaged in entering the local telephone market in Oklahoma City, and expects to provide a significant facilities-based

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE

¹See ALTS Motion to Dismiss SBC Communications Inc.'s Application for Section 271 Authorization to Provide In-Region InterLATA Service in the State of Oklahoma, Public Notice, DA 97-864, CC Dkt. No. 97-121 (Com. Car. Bur. rel. Apr. 23, 1997) (seeking comments on ALTS motion by April 28, 1997).

alternative to SBC's affiliate Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("Southwestern Bell") for residential customers. Cox Communications of Oklahoma City, Inc. has been certificated by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission to provide local exchange service and has negotiated an interconnection agreement with Southwestern Bell, although that agreement has not yet been approved by the Corporation Commission. Cox plans to begin providing local telephone service in Oklahoma City. Cox is taking the expensive and complicated steps to comply with the regulatory requirements, gain a suitable interconnection agreement and ready its network. Cox has not, however, begun to provide service. Cox does not yet have an approved interconnection agreement and it must complete the upgrade of its network so that the reliability Cox cable customers have come to expect will also be delivered to Cox's telecommunications customers.

Although Cox is not yet providing service, SBC relies on a discussion of the nature of Cox's cable television facilities to support its application for authority to provide interLATA service.² Because Cox is not providing local exchange service at this time, however, it does not meet the requirements of Section 271(c)(1)(A), and therefore SBC may not rely on Cox to demonstrate its compliance with that provision.³ Moreover, SBC has not claimed that any

²SBC Application at 93-94, Wheeler Affidavit.

³Because Cox and other facilities-based carriers requested interconnection prior to the date three months before the SBC application was filed, however, SBC cannot pursue its application via the "Track B" provisions of Section 271(c)(1)(B). Track B is not available because facilities-based entities have requested interconnection in Oklahoma. While these entities may not now be providing both business and residential service, that does not permit SBC to opt for Track B. Rather, a BOC must provide evidence that facilities-based competition is not emerging before it can follow Track B. Otherwise, SBC could evade the intent of Section 271 by, for instance, stonewalling interconnection negotiations and claiming

provider other than Brooks Fiber actually is providing service that meets the requirements of Section 271(c)(1)(A). Thus, to the extent that Brooks Fiber is not providing both business and residential local exchange service predominantly over its own facilities, SBC cannot rely on Cox's status as triggering Track A and SBC's application therefore would be defective and should be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

J.G. Harrington Laura H. Phillips

Its Attorneys

DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Avenue Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 776-2000

April 28, 1997

that there were no actual facilities-based providers.

DECLARATION OF JEFF STOREY

- 1. My name is Jeff Storey. I am Director, Network Operations of Cox Communications of Oklahoma City, Inc. I am providing this declaration in connection with the comments of Cox Communications, Inc. (Cox) in response to the motion to dismiss the application of SBC Communications, Inc., for authority to provide in-region interLATA services in the State of Oklahoma, filed by the Association for Local Telecommunications Services.
- 2. I am personally familiar with Cox's telecommunications operations in the State of Oklahoma. The information provided in this declaration is based on my personal knowledge.
- 3. Cox Oklahoma Telcom, Inc. ("Cox Telcom") was certificated to provide local exchange and exchange access services in Oklahoma on February 28, 1997. Prior to receiving its certification, Cox Telcom requested negotiation of an interconnection agreement with SBC's affiliate Southwestern Bell Telephone Company. Those negotiations resulted in an interconnection agreement that was executed on April 10, 1997. The interconnection agreement has not been approved by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and therefore is not yet in effect.

- 4. Cox is in the process of upgrading its facilities to provide local telephone service in the area served by its Oklahoma City cable system. That process has not been completed at this time.
- 5. Because Cox has not completed upgrading its facilities and because Cox's interconnection agreement with Southwestern Bell is not yet in effect, Cox Telcom is not now providing traditional local exchange service, i.e., local telephone service to any customers, business or residential. Cox

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Telcom fully intends to provide such service in the future.

Executed on April 25, 1997.

Jeff Storey

Director, Network Operations

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

- I, Tammi A. Foxwell, of the law firm of Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, do hereby certify that on this 28th day of April, 1997, I caused copies of the foregoing "Comments" to be served via first-class mail, postage prepaid (except where indicated as via hand-delivery), to the following:
- *The Honorable Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814 Washington, DC 20554
- *The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 832 Washington, DC 20554
- *Tom Boasberg
 Senior Legal Advisor
 Office of Chairman Hundt
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street, NW, Room 814
 Washington, DC 20554
- *James Casserly
 Senior Legal Advisor
 Office of Commissioner Ness
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street, NW, Room 832
 Washington, DC 20554
- *Ms. Regina Keeney
 Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street, NW, Room 500
 Washington, DC 20554

- *The Honorable James H. Quello Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554
- *The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 844 Washington, DC 20554
- *Daniel Gonzalez Legal Advisor Office of Commissioner Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 844 Washington, DC 20554
- *James Coltharp Special Counsel Office of Commissioner Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 802 Washington, DC 20554
- *Richard Metzger
 Deputy Chief
 Common Carrier Bureau
 Federal Communications Commission
 1919 M Street, NW, Room 599
 Washington, DC 20554

*Larry Atlas
Deputy Chief
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

*Brent Olson Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW., Room 544 Washington, DC 20554

*Carol Mattey
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW., Room 544
Washington, DC 20554

*ITS, Inc. 2100 M Street, NW, Suite 140 Washington, DC 20037

Charlotte Flanagan Commission Secretary Oklahoma Corporation Commission P.O. Box 52000-2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73152-2000

Michael K. Kellogg Austin C. Schlick Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 1000 West Washington, DC 20005 *Richard K. Welch Chief, Policy & Planning Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 544 Washington, DC 20554

*Melissa Waksman Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW., Room 544 Washington, DC 20554

*Don Russell
Chief, Telecommunications Task Force
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Judiciary Center
555 4th Street, NW, Room 8104
Washington, DC 20001

Richard J. Metzger General Counsel Association for Local Telecommunications Services 1200 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Lawrence Edmison General Counsel Oklahoma Corporation Commission P.O. Box 52000-2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73 | 52-2000

James D. Ellis Paul K. Mancini SBC Communications One Bell Center St. Louis, MO 63101 Todd F. Silbergeld SBC Communications Inc. 1401 Eye Street, NW., Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

John C. Shapleigh Brooks Fiber Properties 425 Woods Mill Road South, Suite 300 Town and Country, MO 63017

Mark C. Rosenblum, Esq. AT&T Corp. Room 3244J I 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 J. Manning Lee Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300 Staten Island, NY 10311

Susan Jin Davis MCI Telecommunications Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 444 Washington, DC 20006

Formell

Richard H. Juhnke Sprint Communications 1850 M Street, NW., Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036

rammi A. Poxwei

*Via Hand Delivery.